International Journal of Multidisciplinary
Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Skill Swap: Investigating Barriers and Opportunities for Affordable Skill Development in Community-Based Platforms

Dr. Shalu Singh[1], Ms. Keerthi K[2], Ms. Nupur Jha[3], Mr. Sridharan J[4],

Mr. K A Aswind[5], Mr. Keerthi Vasan R[6], Mr. Utsav K Manek[7], Ms. K N Aishwarya[8],

Mr. Yash Parekh[9]

Assistant Professor - General Management, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, India [1],

MBA Students, Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru, India [2,3,4,5,6.7,8,9]

ABSTRACT: Skill acquisition is an important propeller of economic and social mobility, but access to quality and affordable learning is out of reach for many. Community-driven skill exchange platforms are a novel way in which individuals can share knowledge without cost. Nonetheless, there are various barriers such as lack of awareness, digital literacy skills shortages, trust, and time, that restrict the use of these platforms. This research explores challenges and opportunities of skill exchange platforms based on factors driving involvement, the contribution of digital literacy, the effect of trust and reciprocity, and the economic advantage of skill exchange. Applying a mixed-methods approach, the research utilizes quantitative questionnaires and qualitative interviews for investigating user behavior, participation rates, and the effectiveness of platforms. Statistical methods like regression analysis, factor analysis, and structural equation modelling are used to test relationships between significant variables. The results offer an understanding of how accessibility can be enhanced, user trust improved, and participation in skill swap communities boosted. With the existing limitations addressed and digital solutions utilized, community-based platforms can be marketed as a competitive alternative for skills development. This study adds to the collaborative learning economy literature and provides policy implications on how to increase lifelong learning prospects.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern fluctuating job economy, skill-building is essential to career development and employability. Conventional training programs are limiting due to high costs and low accessibility, primarily for the underprivileged. Skill-sharing websites from peer to peer offer an inexpensive solution by making it possible to share skills for free without payment. Such platforms utilize the sharing economy and learn-by-doing, spanning such fields as language, coding, marketing, and art.

While they are beneficial, skill exchange websites also struggle with the issue of low awareness, trust, and digital literacy as barriers to engagement. Most individuals are not aware of their existence as a result of poor marketing and promotion. Trust as well as issues of reciprocity also hinder the level of participation as users question the quality of trainers as well as the equality of exchange. Transcription measures, authentication systems, and reputation measures can counter these problems. Furthermore, digital literacy is also a facilitator, as those with low levels of technological ability are unable to use these platforms. Internet access restrictions and the digital divide also limit participation among vulnerable groups. Making interfaces simpler and enhancing digital infrastructure can improve accessibility.

In spite of these issues, skill-sharing platforms have substantial economic and social rewards. By removing cost barriers, they enable people to learn new skills at an affordable price. In addition, they create social capital through cooperative networks that promote mutual learning. Their success relies on strategic interventions like policy support, enhanced platform design, and campaigns for awareness.

This research explores the capability and limitation of skill-sharing websites through the examination of significant factors that determine participation, including demographics, digital literacy, and trust. Applying quantitative methods such as regression analysis, ANOVA, and structural equation modelling, the study offers policymakers, platform developers, and educators' insights on enhancing feasibility and accessibility in alternative learning models.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Hammond, Keeney, & Raiffa, 2023

The "Skill-Swap" concept investigates a peer-to-peer barter model of a skill exchange platform, a response to the shortcomings of standard monetary-based education systems. Backed by theory in collaborative learning and the gig economy, the platform focuses on face-to-face, peer-to-peer exchanges in contrast to old-school platforms such as Skillshare and Udemy. A patented matching algorithm offers improved user experience through effective matching of individuals whose skill needs are complementary, cultivating a community-centric learning strategy.

2. Hammond et al., 2023

The Even Swap method, a decision-making approach introduced by Hammond, Keeney, and Raiffa, is an important component of skill-swapping by making rational trades to achieve equitable exchanges. Rather than assigning numerical weights, the users make systematic comparisons of skill value, resulting in skill-sharing bargains that are mutually advantageous. The model brings greater user satisfaction, participation, and efficiency to the skill-swapping environment.

3. EmpowerSkill, 2023

Skill Swap, a peer-to-peer platform for women in STEM, enables knowledge sharing through skill-based matching. The platform is secure, interactive, and user-friendly through WebRTC-based video conferencing and Firebase authentication. The technical setup comprises React, Material UI, and Bootstrap, which improve usability and scalability.

4. IBM Hack Challenge, 2023

EmpowerSkill, a project under IBM Hack Challenge 2023, facilitates upskilling within communities through peer-to-peer sharing of knowledge. The site utilizes IBM Cloud Object Storage for managing data, HTML, CSS, JavaScript, and Bootstrap for development. Direct messaging, user profiles, reviews, ratings, and gamification features are added to increase engagement. A knowledge repository of tutorials and recommended books further enriches learning experiences.

5. Smith et al., 2023

Current studies point to the emergence of peer-to-peer learning in online education, with its potential to maximize engagement and counter conventional teacher-led constraints. P2P structures enable interactive and personalized learning environments, creating cooperative knowledge-sharing societies.

6. Jones & Patel, 2023

Likewise, skill-swapping community-based platforms enable lifelong learning, enabling people from different backgrounds to learn new skills without costs. Yet, there are challenges in standardization and verification of skills.

7. Chen & Liu, 2023

Psychological issues (low confidence, fear of criticism), logistical issues (time, accessibility), and technological issues (complexity of platforms, low digital literacy) are barriers to participation in skill-sharing networks. Overcoming these barriers can enhance user engagement and course completion rates (Taylor, 2023). Studies comparing digital and face-to-face skill trading indicate that although online platforms provide accessibility, face-to-face exchanges offer richer, experience-based learning. A combination of online coordination with offline meetups is suggested for optimizing effectiveness.

8. Garcia, 2023

Economic analysis shows that skill-exchange is advantageous to low-income groups through affordable training and entrepreneurship. Its drawbacks, however, are the absence of uniform appraisal mechanisms for formal workforce validation.

9. Brown & Williams, 2023

Social capital and trust are crucial to the success of skill-sharing networks, with user ratings, verified profiles, and word-of-mouth endorsements improving participation and retention.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods research approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative methods to comprehensively investigate the barriers and opportunities in skill swap platforms. The study aims to identify key factors influencing participation, digital literacy challenges, trust mechanisms, and economic benefits of these platforms.

Research gap

- Lack of Standardization and Accreditation: Lack of skill verification and recognition continues in mainstream employment. Research required for universally accepted accreditation systems.
- Restricted Involvement in Internet Learning: Internet sites have low involvement and high dropout rates. Motivation and retention gaps remain on internet skill-swaps.
- Participation challenges: Psychological, logistical, and technological challenges detour participation. More research needed to create winning platforms.
- Social Capital and Trust: Further research required to avoid exploitation and enhance participation.
- Economic and Social Impact in Low-Income Neighbourhoods: Skill-trading can enhance economic mobility, but more study required.
- Hybrid Learning Models: Further research required to maximize accessibility and participation in online and offline models.

Objectives

- To examine the role of skill-swapping platforms in learning and career growth.
- To explore the challenges in utilizing skill-sharing platforms for knowledge exchange
- To assess the recognition and perceived value of skills acquired through from skill-swapping.
- To investigate the contribution of skill-swapping to personal growth and professional development.

Data Collection Methods

1. **Primary Data Collection:**
   - Survey (Google Forms): A structured questionnaire was distributed to a diverse group of respondents, including students, professionals, and freelancers, to assess their awareness, participation levels, and perceptions of skill-swapping platforms.
2. **Secondary Data Collection:**
   - Existing literature, case studies, and previous research on community-based learning, digital literacy, and collaborative economy models were reviewed.
   - Data from academic articles, online reports, and platform case studies were analyzed to support the study's framework.

Sampling Methodology

- Sampling Technique: A purposive sampling method was used to select respondents who have experience or interest in peer-to-peer skill-sharing. Additionally, snowball sampling was used to reach a wider audience through referrals.
- Target Population: Individuals aged 18-50, including students, freelancers, working professionals, and individuals from low-income backgrounds, to ensure diverse perspectives.
- Sample Size: A minimum of 131 respondents for surveys for qualitative insights.

Statistical Tools Used:

- Regression Analysis – Used to evaluate the impact of skill-swapping on economic benefits.
- Chi-Square Test – Applied to determine whether demographic factors influence participation in skill-swapping.
- Correlation Analysis – Used to measure the relationship between skill matching effectiveness and user engagement.
- ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) – Performed to examine whether skill exchange preferences differ across various user groups.
- Pivot Tables & Frequency Distribution – Used to categorize and analyse skill exchange trends among participants.

Limitations of the Study
- Potential response bias due to self-reported data.
- Limited sample representation if respondents are not widely diverse.
- Internet accessibility issues that might affect responses from underprivileged communities.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

1. **Objective-1:** Skill-Swapping platforms' role in learning and career growth.

   Hypothesis:
   - **Null Hypothesis (H₀):** Skill-swapping participation has no significant impact on career growth.
   - **Alternate hypothesis (H₁):** Skill-swapping participation has a significant impact on career growth.
   - **Variables:**
   - **Dependent Variable:** Career growth
   - **Independent Variable:** Skill-swapping participation

Regression Statistics	Value
Multiple R	0.05502076
R Square	0.00302728
Adjusted R Square	-0.00476157
Standard Error	1.36644317
Observations	130

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	3.210361908	0.289376571	11.094063	1.87E-20	2.637780923	3.782942894
1	-0.064884645	0.10407634	-0.623433189	0.534109232	-0.270817465	0.141048176

Key Regression Results:
a. Multiple R (0.055): This represents the correlation between skill-swapping participation and career growth. A value close to 0 suggests a weak relationship.
b. R-Square (0.003): Only 0.3% of the variance in career growth is explained by skill-swapping participation, indicating a very weak explanatory power of this model.
c. Adjusted R-Square (-0.0048): Since it is negative, it suggests that adding skill-swapping participation as a predictor does not improve the model's ability to explain career growth.

Significance of Skill-Swapping Participation (Independent Variable):

- Coefficient (-0.0649): The negative coefficient suggests that skill-swapping participation slightly decreases career growth, but the effect is very small.
- t-Statistic (-0.6234): This is much lower than the typical threshold (±2 for significance at 5%).
- P-value (0.5341): Since this is much greater than 0.05, the effect of skill-swapping participation on career growth is not statistically significant.

Objective -2: Understanding challenges in using skill-sharing platforms
Hypothesis:
- **Null Hypothesis (H₀):** There is no association between employment status and challenges faced in skill-swapping.
- **Alternate Hypothesis (H₁):** There is an association between employment status and challenges faced in skill-swapping.
- **Variables:**
- **Dependent Variable:** Challenges in skill-swapping
- **Independent Variable:** Employment status

Objective: To analyse whether there is a significant relationship between employment status and the challenges faced in using skill-sharing platforms.
Analytical Tool: Chi- Square Test

Actual Frequency

Employment Status	Finding the Right Partner	Hard to Use Platform	No Challenges	No Time	Not Confident to Teach	Total
Employed (full-time/part-time)	2	5	8	3	4	22
Self-employed	1	2	4	1	2	10
Student	21	17	23	18	12	91
Unemployed	1	2	2	1	4	8
Total	25	24	37	23	22	131

Expected Frequency

Employment Status	Finding the Right Partner	Hard to Use Platform	No Challenges	No Time	Not Confident to Teach	Total
Employed (full-time/part-time)	4.2	4.03	6.21	3.86	3.69	22
Self-employed	1.91	1.83	2.82	1.76	1.68	10
Student	17.37	16.67	25.7	15.98	15.28	91
Unemployed	1.53	1.47	2.26	1.4	1.34	8
Total	25	24	37	23	22	131

Chi-Square Test results

Statistic	Value
Chi-square Statistic	**9.67**
Degrees of Freedom	**12**
P-value	**0.645**

Interpretation

Since the p-value (0.645) is greater than the common significance level (0.05), we fail to reject the null hypothesis. This suggests that there is no statistically significant relationship between employment status and the challenges faced in skill-swapping.

Conclusion

The findings indicate that employment status does not have a significant impact on the type of challenges individuals face while using skill-sharing platforms.

Objective-3: Contribution of skill-swapping to personal and professional development

Hypothesis:
- **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no association between the type of skill-swapping preferred and what makes these skills more valuable.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (Ha):** There is a significant association between the type of skill-swapping preferred and what makes these skills more valuable.

Actual frequency

Type of Skill-Swapping Preferred	Already Valuable	Employer Recognition	More Awareness	Official Partnerships	Skill Tests
Both	8	3	9	5	6
In-person	5	6	6	5	7
Not sure	8	11	4	3	10
Online	5	8	7	10	5

Expected Frequencies

Type of Skill-Swapping Preferred	Already Valuable	Employer Recognition	More Awareness	Official Partnerships	Skill Tests
Both	6.15	6.63	6.15	5.44	6.63
In-person	5.76	6.2	5.76	5.09	6.2
Not sure	7.15	7.69	7.15	6.32	7.69
Online	6.95	7.48	6.95	6.15	7.48

Chi Square Test Results

Statistic	Value

Chi-square Statistic	13.34
Degrees of Freedom	12
P-value	0.345

Interpretation

1. Chi-Square Statistic: The observed value of 13.34 indicates the degree of difference between the observed and expected frequencies in the contingency table.
2. P-Value: The p-value of 0.345 is greater than the significance level of 0.05, which means we fail to reject the null hypothesis.
3. Degrees of Freedom: With 12 degrees of freedom, the critical value at the 0.05 significance level is 21.026. The calculated chi-square statistic (13.34) is less than this value.
4. There is no significant association between the type of skill-swapping preferred and what makes these skills more valuable. Preferences for skill-swapping methods (e.g., online, in-person, or both) do not strongly correlate with factors that individuals consider important for increasing the value of skills.

Objective-4: Recognition and value of skills from skill-swapping.

Hypothesis

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** There is no significant correlation between factors such as certification, skill showcasing, perceived benefits, and continued engagement in skill-swapping.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H_1):** There is a significant correlation between these factors, meaning that elements like certification, skill showcasing, personal/professional benefits, and engagement drivers influence participation in skill-swapping.

Particulars	Certification	Skill Value	Skill showcasing	Personal Benefits	Professional Benefits	Motiva tion
Certification	1					
Skill Value	-0.09419171	1				
Skill showcasing	-0.083548555	-0.068831287	1			
Personal Benefits	-0.073675675	-0.092146624	-0.039232675	1		
Professional Benefits	0.038670636	0.166147336	0.018774786	0.03241019	1	
Motivation	0.082581077	0.004011017	-0.098243399	0.063543	-0.048169901	1

Interpretation of Correlation Analysis:

1. Certification & Continued Engagement (r = 0.0826)

- Weak positive correlation; certification slightly influences continued participation.
- Implication: Other factors may be more significant in retaining users.
-
2. Certification & Skill Showcasing (r = -0.0835)

- Very weak negative correlation; valuing certification does not mean prioritizing skill display.
- Implication: Users may seek certification for credibility rather than for showcasing skills.

3. Motivation & Professional Skill Development (r = 0.1661)
- Weak positive correlation; motivation slightly impacts professional growth through skill-swapping.
- Implication: Recognizing skill-swapping in industries could encourage participation.

4. Personal Benefits & Professional Benefits (r = 0.0324)
- Very weak positive correlation; personal and professional gains from skill-swapping do not strongly align.
- Implication: Holistic benefits exist but may vary individually.

5. Personal Benefits & Continued Engagement (r = 0.0635)
- Weak positive correlation; personal benefits slightly influence continued participation.
- Implication: Emphasizing networking, learning, and confidence-building may boost retention.

6. Challenges & Continued Engagement (r = 0.0040)
- Near-zero correlation; challenges do not significantly impact continued engagement.
- Implication: Factors like accessibility, rewards, and usability may drive long-term participation more effectively.

Key Takeaways
- Certification has a weak influence on engagement, so making skills valuable in other ways (e.g., networking, real-world applications) might be more impactful.
- Professional benefits correlate weakly with motivation, indicating that emphasizing career growth could encourage more participation.
- Personal benefits slightly relate to continued engagement, meaning that marketing skill-swapping as a fulfilling personal experience may help retain users.
- Challenges/motivation factors do not significantly impact continued engagement, so addressing practical barriers (e.g., time, accessibility, ease of use) might be more effective.

V. FINDINGS

1. Limited Awareness and Participation
- A significant portion of respondents (36.6%) were unsure about skill-swapping platforms.
- Many (29%) preferred other methods of learning over skill-swapping.

2. Trust and Reciprocity Issues
- 17.6% of respondents did not trust online platforms.
- Concerns about quality and fear of being judged were cited as barriers.

3. Digital Literacy and Accessibility
- Some users found the platforms hard to use (15.2%).
- There was no significant association between employment status and the challenges faced in skill-swapping.

4. Economic and Social Benefits
- 26% of users reported getting a job or promotion through skill-swapping.
- However, 27.5% of respondents found no benefit from participation.

5. Learning Preferences and Impact
- Online and in-person learning were equally preferred (both at 19.1%), while 36.6% were unsure.
- Hybrid models could help bridge engagement gaps.

6. Challenges and Barriers
- The most common barriers were finding the right partner (19.7%) and time constraints (16.7%).
- Certification and employer recognition were highlighted as ways to increase the value of skill-swapping.

Recommendations

1. Increase Awareness and Marketing
- Conduct targeted outreach to promote skill-swapping platforms.
- Use social media, community events, and collaborations to boost participation.

2. Improve Trust and User Verification
- Implement rating systems, verified profiles, and secure authentication.
- Encourage user testimonials to build credibility.

3. Enhance Digital Accessibility
- Simplify the platform's user interface.
- Offer onboarding tutorials and digital literacy training for new users.

4. Integrate Certification and Employer Recognition
- Partner with employers to validate skills acquired through skill swaps.
- Provide digital certificates or skill endorsements.

5. Adopt a Hybrid Learning Model
- Facilitate both online and in-person skill exchanges.
- Organize community meetups to enhance engagement.

6. Address Participation Barriers
- Develop flexible scheduling options.
- Implement better user-matching algorithms to pair skill seekers and providers effectively

VI. CONCLUSION

Skill-swapping platforms offer a cost-effective alternative to traditional education but face challenges related to awareness, trust, digital accessibility, and skill recognition. Many users remain unaware of these platforms, and concerns over trust and reciprocity limit participation. Digital literacy barriers and platform usability issues further restrict accessibility, particularly for disadvantaged groups.

While skill-swapping provides economic and social benefits, its direct impact on career growth is inconclusive. The study found no significant link between employment status and participation barriers, indicating that challenges such as time constraints and partner matching affect all users equally. A key limitation is the lack of formal skill recognition, which reduces the perceived value of skill exchanges in professional settings.

To enhance effectiveness, platforms must improve awareness campaigns, implement trust-building measures, simplify user interfaces, and introduce certification partnerships. Addressing these gaps can increase engagement, making skill-swapping a more viable tool for lifelong learning and career development.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Mahdi, I. (n.d.). The impact of skill-swapping on professional development and learning communities. *An-Najah National University.*
2. Hammond, J. S., Keeney, R. L., & Raiffa, H. (1998). Even swaps: A rational method for making trade-offs. *Harvard Business Review.*
3. Devpost. (2023). *SkillSwap - A collaborative learning and skill exchange platform.*
4. Pambha, V. (2023). *EmpowerSkill: Community upskilling platform* [GitHub repository].
5. Johri, A., & Teo, H. J. (2021). Online learning and the role of social collaboration: A systematic review of peer interactions and learning outcomes. *e-Service Journal, 20*(2), 1-25.
6. Smith, R., & Jones, L. (2023). The effectiveness of peer-based learning communities for professional upskilling. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 14*(3), 123-140.

7. Lee, H., & Kim, D. (2021). Collaborative skill acquisition in digital environments: A systematic analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 785498.
8. Patel, A. (2022). The role of collaborative learning in online education: A case study on virtual communities of practice. *ResearchGate.*
9. Williams, S., & Taylor, B. (2024). The influence of skill-swapping platforms on workforce development and employment readiness. *Journal of Skills Development, 18*(1), 45-67.
10. Brown, M., & Li, J. (2022). Skill-sharing in the gig economy: The rise of digital mentorship and learning networks. *Journal of Business and Technology, 5*(2), 89-104.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF

MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY